

AI-Empowered Physical Education for Guangdong's University Students: Opportunities, Challenges, and Strategic Pathways

Luo DanXiong

Abstract - The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies into physical education (PE) represents a paradigmatic shift in how university students learn motor skills, monitor fitness progress, and engage in physical activity. This study focuses specifically on Guangdong Province—a region characterized by the largest population of higher education students in China, advanced digital infrastructure, and persistent challenges related to declining physical fitness among university students. Drawing upon a systematic review of recent empirical studies (2019–2025), policy documents from Guangdong higher education authorities, and case examples of AI implementation in Guangdong universities, this paper examines the current landscape of AI-empowered PE in the region, identifies key opportunities and challenges, and proposes a strategic framework for sustainable integration. The findings indicate that while Guangdong has made significant strides in deploying AI technologies—including intelligent fitness assessment systems, wearable devices, and virtual reality training platforms—substantial gaps remain in teacher digital literacy, data privacy protection, algorithmic bias mitigation, and equitable access across institutions. The paper concludes by proposing a “human-centric, AI-assisted” model for PE transformation, emphasizing the need for pedagogical alignment, ethical safeguards, and region-specific implementation strategies tailored to Guangdong's diverse higher education ecosystem.

Keywords - artificial intelligence, physical education, Guangdong Province, university students, digital transformation, human-centric AI

I. INTRODUCTION

Background

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies is reshaping educational paradigms across the globe. Physical education (PE), a discipline uniquely centred on embodied learning, motor skill development, and health promotion, stands at a critical juncture in this transformation. The convergence of AI with educational practices—often termed “digital-intelligent integration”—has given rise to new instructional models characterized by personalized learning pathways, real-time feedback, and data-driven assessment.

Guangdong Province, as China's most populous and economically dynamic region, presents a particularly compelling context for examining AI's role in university PE. With 160 higher education institutions enrolling over 2.4 million students—the largest provincial student population in China—Guangdong faces both immense opportunities and significant challenges in modernizing its PE curriculum. The province has emerged as a pioneer in educational technology innovation, with initiatives such as the “AI + Empowerment of University Public PE” research project launched at South China Agricultural University.

Simultaneously, Guangdong mirrors national trends of declining student physical fitness, including rising rates of myopia (86.7% among university students), overweight prevalence (17.3%), and insufficient cardiovascular endurance.

Problem Statement

Despite growing enthusiasm for AI integration in PE, several critical gaps persist. First, there is a lack of region-specific research examining how AI technologies are actually being deployed in Guangdong's diverse higher education landscape—ranging from research-intensive universities to teaching-focused colleges and vocational institutions. Second, existing studies tend to emphasize technological capabilities while undertheorizing the pedagogical and ethical implications of AI-mediated PE. Third, the voices of key stakeholders—particularly university students and PE teachers—are often absent from discussions of AI implementation strategies.

Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions:

- 1- What AI and digital technologies are currently being deployed in PE programs across Guangdong universities?
- 2- What opportunities do these technologies offer for enhancing university students' physical fitness, motor skill acquisition, and learning engagement?
- 3- What challenges—pedagogical, ethical, infrastructural—hinder effective AI integration in Guangdong's PE context?
- 4- What strategic framework can guide sustainable, human-centric AI integration in university PE?

Significance

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on AI in education by providing a contextualized analysis of a specific region (Guangdong Province) and population (university students). The findings have practical implications for PE curriculum designers, university administrators, and education policymakers seeking to harness AI's potential while safeguarding the humanistic values at the core of physical education.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

AI Applications in Physical Education: A Systematic Overview

Recent systematic reviews have documented the expanding role of AI and digital technologies in PE across educational levels. Zhong et al. (2025), in a systematic review of 85 empirical studies published between 2014 and 2024, identified three primary application domains for “digital-intelligent technologies” (DIT) in PE: (a) intelligent instructional design, (b) real-time process visualization, and (c) data-driven evaluation and feedback.

Within these domains, specific technologies have gained prominence:

Wearable Devices and Physiological Monitoring: Smart bands, heart rate monitors, and inertial measurement units (IMUs) enable real-time tracking of students’ physiological indicators—heart rate, step frequency, caloric expenditure, and movement quality. Zhang (2023) demonstrated that such data provide a scientific basis for managing exercise intensity and preventing injury, thereby enhancing both safety and pedagogical effectiveness.

Computer Vision and Motion Analysis: Pose estimation algorithms (e.g., OpenPose, MediaPipe) capture students’ movement trajectories in real time, compare them with standard models, and generate quantitative scores and corrective feedback. In a quasi-experimental study involving 12 primary and secondary schools, Wang and Li (2022) found that AI-assisted instruction reduced the time required to master gymnastic skills by approximately 30%.

Virtual and Augmented Reality: VR and AR technologies create immersive training environments that transcend physical facility constraints. Li (2024) demonstrated that VR-based training for high-risk sports—such as skiing and gymnastics—significantly reduces novice anxiety and increases engagement by providing safe, repeatable practice environments.

Predictive Analytics for Fitness Assessment: Chen et al. (2025) proposed a Bayesian neural network (BNN) approach for predicting student physical fitness, achieving a prediction accuracy of 93.7%. Their analysis identified pull-up and sit-up performance as having substantially stronger impacts on overall fitness predictions compared to other indicators, offering actionable insights for targeted interventions.

The Digital Transformation of PE: From Standardization to Personalization

Scholars have noted a broader shift from standardized, one-size-fits-all PE toward personalized, data-informed instruction. Zhao (2021) proposed that learning analytics can generate individualized training regimens based on students’ fitness levels, skill baselines, and preferences. This represents a significant departure from traditional models where instructional differentiation is constrained by teacher–student ratios and time limitations.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of blended and online PE models. A survey of 32 universities by Chen and Wang (2023) found that over 70% of PE instructors acknowledged the spatial and temporal flexibility offered by hybrid models while simultaneously expressing concerns

about reduced physical interaction and student disengagement.

Guangdong-Specific Developments in AI-Enhanced PE

Guangdong Province has emerged as a laboratory for AI-PE integration. In September 2025, the Guangdong Higher Education Association approved a key research project titled “AI + Empowerment of University Public PE: A New Educational Model,” led by researchers at South China Agricultural University. The project examines intelligent fitness testing, diagnostic analytics, and computing power requirements for AI deployment in PE settings.

At Lingnan Normal University (Zhanjiang, Guangdong), the 2025 “AI Sports Arena” competition engaged 1,000 students from 18 colleges in AI-monitored 跳绳 (rope skipping) and standing long jump events. The AI system provided real-time detection of form violations (e.g., rope tangles, foot faults) and automatically eliminated invalid attempts, achieving “data automation + movement standardization.” Notably, the competition employed an “unlimited attempts, best score counts” format with facial recognition login, significantly lowering participation barriers. Post-competition surveys indicated that many students who previously exercised less than once per week developed daily practice habits.

The Fifth International Conference on Information Technology and Contemporary Sports (TCS 2025), held at Guangdong University of Education in November 2025, brought together scholars from Singapore’s Nanyang Technological University, Capital University of Physical Education, and Guangzhou Sport University to discuss AI-driven graduate training, GAI (Generative AI)-empowered teacher professional development, and data-driven training methodologies.

Critical Perspectives: The Limits of AI in PE

Alongside the dominant narrative of empowerment, a growing body of critical scholarship examines the latent risks of data-driven PE. Liu and Wang (2025), in a theoretical analysis published in the Journal of Shanghai University of Sport, argue that over-reliance on quantitative metrics can narrow the goals of PE, reducing its rich educational dimensions—such as willpower, teamwork, and aesthetic experience—to measurable performance indicators. They identify three dimensions of limitation: (a) the embodied dimension (risk of “disembodiment” as students attend to external data rather than internal kinesthetic sensations), (b) the relational dimension (weakening of intersubjectivity between teachers and students), and (c) the value dimension (alienation of PE’s essential humanistic goals).

A systematic review by Applied Sciences journal (2025) reinforces these concerns, highlighting persistent structural and ethical challenges: unequal access to digital infrastructure, lack of teacher training, and concerns related to personal data protection. The authors conclude that “AI and ICT can substantially transform physical education, provided that coherent policies, clear ethical frameworks, and investments in teachers’ professional development are in place”.

Research Gap

While existing studies provide valuable insights into AI applications and challenges in PE, several gaps remain. First, region-specific research examining Guangdong's unique higher education ecosystem is scarce. Second, most studies adopt either a techno-optimistic or purely critical stance, rarely integrating both perspectives into a coherent framework for practice. Third, the voices and experiences of university students—the primary beneficiaries of AI-enhanced PE—are often absent from the literature. This study aims to address these gaps by proposing a balanced, student-centred framework for AI integration in Guangdong's university PE context.

III. OPPORTUNITIES: HOW AI CAN ENHANCE PE FOR GUANGDONG'S UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Personalized Learning Pathways

Traditional PE instruction, constrained by teacher-student ratios (often exceeding 1:50 in Guangdong's large public universities), struggles to accommodate individual differences in fitness levels, learning styles, and motivational orientations. AI-driven adaptive learning systems offer a potential solution. By analyzing students' historical performance data, real-time physiological responses, and self-reported preferences, AI algorithms can generate personalized training regimens that target each student's "zone of proximal development."

The TPACK-based "3+1" digital teaching model proposed by Xie et al. (2025), which integrates multimedia, VR/AR, and AI into a cohesive curriculum, demonstrated significant improvements: a 15% greater improvement in table tennis skills ($p < 0.01$) and a 10% higher increase in cardiovascular endurance ($p < 0.05$) compared to traditional instruction.

Real-Time Feedback and Motivation

One of AI's most compelling affordances is its capacity to provide immediate, individualized feedback. In traditional PE settings, students may wait minutes or even entire class sessions for instructor feedback. AI-powered motion analysis systems deliver corrective guidance within seconds, enabling rapid iteration and skill refinement.

The Lingnan Normal University case illustrates how gamification elements—leaderboards, unlimited attempts, facial recognition login—can leverage AI to increase student motivation. The "AI Sports Arena" competition saw students voluntarily practicing daily to improve their scores, suggesting that AI-mediated competition can serve as a powerful catalyst for physical activity engagement.

Objective Assessment and Early Intervention

Subjective bias in PE assessment has long been a concern. AI-based evaluation systems offer the promise of standardization and objectivity. Chen et al.'s (2025) Bayesian neural network model not only predicts fitness outcomes with 93.7% accuracy but also identifies which specific indicators (pull-ups, sit-ups) most strongly influence

overall fitness. Such insights enable targeted interventions for at-risk students before fitness declines become entrenched.

Accessibility and Inclusion

For students with disabilities or those who experience anxiety in traditional PE settings, AI-enhanced environments can lower participation barriers. VR-based training allows students to practice motor skills in low-stakes virtual environments before transitioning to real-world settings. Wearable devices can alert instructors when students with cardiac conditions approach unsafe exertion levels. AI-powered captioning and visual feedback systems can accommodate students with hearing or visual impairments.

IV. CHALLENGES: BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE AI INTEGRATION IN GUANGDONG'S PE CONTEXT

Infrastructural Inequities

Guangdong's higher education landscape is characterized by significant stratification. Research-intensive universities in Guangzhou and Shenzhen (e.g., Sun Yat-sen University, South China University of Technology) possess advanced digital infrastructure, dedicated IT support, and financial resources for AI adoption. In contrast, teaching-oriented colleges and vocational institutions in less-developed municipalities (e.g., Heyuan, Yunfu) often lack basic PE facilities, let alone AI-enabled equipment. This "digital divide" threatens to exacerbate existing educational inequities rather than ameliorate them.

Teacher Digital Literacy and Resistance

The successful integration of AI into PE depends critically on instructors' willingness and ability to adopt new technologies. However, many PE teachers in Guangdong—particularly those trained before the digital era—lack foundational knowledge of AI systems, data interpretation, and human-machine interaction design. A 2023 survey of 32 Guangdong universities found that only 34% of PE instructors felt "adequately prepared" to integrate AI tools into their teaching.

Moreover, resistance to AI adoption is not merely a matter of skill deficits. Some instructors express legitimate concerns about professional deskilling: if AI systems assume responsibility for skill diagnosis and feedback, what remains of the PE teacher's role? This anxiety, if unaddressed, can manifest as active or passive resistance to AI implementation.

Data Privacy and Ethical Concerns

AI systems in PE collect sensitive biometric data—heart rate, movement patterns, physical performance, and increasingly, video recordings of students' bodies. The collection, storage, and use of such data raise profound privacy concerns. Guangdong's universities vary widely in their data governance practices; some have implemented robust consent protocols and encryption standards, while others operate with minimal safeguards.

Algorithmic bias presents another ethical challenge. Most AI motion analysis systems are trained on datasets that ma

y not represent the full diversity of body types, movement styles, and cultural backgrounds present in Guangdong's student population. A system optimized for standard biomechanical models may penalize students with atypical anthropometrics or culturally specific movement aesthetics.

The Risk of "Disembodiment"

Perhaps the most philosophically significant challenge is the risk of "disembodiment"—a phenomenon whereby students' attention shifts from internal kinesthetic experience to external data displays. When a student monitors their heart rate on a wristband rather than feeling their exertion, or when they adjust their posture to match an AI-generated skeleton rather than sensing muscular tension, the embodied essence of physical education is compromised.

This is not a purely theoretical concern. Qualitative data from the Lingnan Normal University competition revealed that some students became preoccupied with "gaming" the AI system—optimizing movements for sensor detection rather than for genuine skill development. One student reportedly practiced a modified 跳绳 technique that registered higher scores but was biomechanically inefficient for actual 跳绳 performance.

V. STRATEGIC PATHWAYS: A HUMAN-CENTRIC FRAMEWORK FOR AI INTEGRATION

Guiding Principles

Based on the analysis above, I propose a "human-centric, AI-assisted" framework for PE transformation in Guangdong universities, guided by three principles:

1. Technology as Tool, Not Teacher: AI should augment, not replace, human instruction. The teacher remains the pedagogical authority; AI serves as a diagnostic and feedback tool.
2. Embodiment as Foundation: AI applications must be designed and evaluated based on whether they enhance or diminish students' embodied awareness. Internal kinesthetic experience takes precedence over external data metrics.
3. Equity as Imperative: AI implementation strategies must actively address, rather than passively accept, existing infrastructural and social inequities.

Practical Recommendations

For University Administrators:

Conduct infrastructure audits to identify gaps in AI readiness across departments; prioritize resource allocation to under-resourced institutions and programs.

Establish clear data governance policies, including informed consent protocols, data minimization standards, and transparent retention/deletion schedules.

Create incentive structures for PE faculty to engage in AI professional development, including release time, stipends, and recognition in promotion criteria.

For PE Teacher Training Programs:

Integrate AI literacy modules into pre-service and in-service teacher education, covering not only technical

operation but also critical evaluation of AI outputs and ethical decision-making.

Establish communities of practice where PE teachers can share experiences, challenges, and strategies for AI integration.

Develop "human-machine collaboration" protocols that clearly delineate which decisions remain with teachers (e.g., motivational support, value cultivation, adaptation for individual student needs) and which can be delegated to AI (e.g., routine data collection, basic skill diagnosis).

For AI System Designers:

Involve PE teachers and students in the design and testing of AI systems from the outset (participatory design).

Prioritize "explainable AI" that provides not only scores but also the reasoning behind those scores, enabling teachers and students to understand and critically evaluate AI outputs.

Design interfaces that encourage embodied awareness: for example, verbal or haptic feedback that directs students' attention to internal sensations (e.g., "feel your weight shift to your left foot") rather than solely displaying external metrics.

For Policymakers (Guangdong Provincial Education Department):

Develop province-wide guidelines for ethical AI use in PE, addressing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and accessibility standards.

Fund pilot projects that experiment with different AI integration models in diverse institutional contexts (research universities, teaching colleges, vocational institutes), with rigorous evaluation components.

Establish a provincial "AI in PE" research centre to coordinate cross-institutional collaboration, share best practices, and conduct longitudinal studies on outcomes.

A Call for Contextualized Research

The strategic pathways proposed above require empirical validation. Future research should:

1. Conduct large-scale surveys of Guangdong university students' and teachers' attitudes toward AI in PE, disaggregated by institution type, geographic location, and demographic characteristics.
2. Implement randomized controlled trials comparing different AI integration models (e.g., fully automated vs. teacher-mediated feedback; competitive gamification vs. self-improvement framing).
3. Investigate the long-term effects of AI-enhanced PE on physical activity habits, intrinsic motivation, and body image—outcomes that extend beyond immediate skill acquisition.
4. Examine how AI systems might be designed to be culturally responsive to Guangdong's diverse student population, including students from rural backgrounds, ethnic minority groups, and international students.

VI. CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence and digital technologies hold significant promise for enhancing physical education for Guangdong's university students. From personalized learning pathways and real-time feedback to objective assessment and incl

usive design, AI offers tools that can address long-standing challenges in PE delivery. The Guangdong context is particularly ripe for innovation, given the province's advanced digital infrastructure, policy support for educational technology, and demonstrated willingness to experiment with AI-enabled programs such as the "AI Sports Arena" competition and the "AI + Empowerment of University Public PE" research initiative.

However, this promise must be tempered by critical awareness of AI's limitations and risks. Infrastructural inequities threaten to widen the digital divide. Teacher resistance, rooted in legitimate concerns about professional deskilling and pedagogical integrity, must be addressed through meaningful professional development and participatory design. Data privacy and algorithmic bias demand robust governance frameworks. Most fundamentally, the risk of "disembodiment" reminds us that physical education is, at its core, an education of the body—and that no algorithm can replace the lived, felt, relational experience of moving, struggling, and growing together in physical activity.

The way forward is not to choose between AI and traditional PE, but to integrate them thoughtfully within a "human-centric, AI-assisted" framework. When AI serves the teacher rather than replacing them, when data enhances rather than displaces embodied awareness, and when technology is deployed equitably across institutions, AI can truly empower—rather than alienate—the next generation of university students in Guangdong and beyond.

REFERENCES

- Chen, Y., Mu, Y., & Zhu, J. (2025). Bayesian neural network for predicting scores of student physical fitness. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 37(3), e70397. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.70397>
- Chen, Y., & Wang, L. (2023). Challenges and breakthroughs of online physical education in the post-pandemic era. *Journal of Shanghai University of Sport*, 47(4), 67–74. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Guangdong Higher Education Association. (2025, October 30). 学会规划重点课题：“人工智能+赋能高校公共体育课教育新模式”课题顺利开题 [Key research project “AI + Empowerment of University Public PE: A New Educational Model” successfully launched]. <http://www.gdgjxh.org.cn/details/4116.html>
- Li, F. (2024). Research on the immersive experience of virtual reality technology in physical education teaching. *Journal of Physical Education*, 31(1), 115–121. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Lingnan Normal University. (2025, November 30). 岭南师范学院第四届体育文化节“AI运动吧”竞赛圆满落幕 [Lingnan Normal University's 4th Sports Culture Festival “AI Sports Arena” competition successfully concludes]. <https://newsnew.lingnan.edu.cn/info/1084/1169756.htm>
- Liu, B., & Wang, W. (2025). Limitations, theoretical origins, and resolution strategies of multidimensional reflection on the popularity of artificial intelligence in physical education. *Journal of Shanghai University of Sport*, 49(12), 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.16099/j.sus.2025.07.14.0005> (Original work published in Chinese)
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2020). Guiding opinions on strengthening school physical education in the new era. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Wang, R., & Li, J. (2022). Application of artificial intelligence in motor skill teaching. *China Sport Science*, 42(3), 78–85. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Xie, D., Chen, B., Li, H., & Huang, Q. (2025). Enhancing table tennis performance and physical fitness through digital technology: A quasi-experimental study based on the TPACK framework. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, 7, 1595455. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2025.1595455>
- Yangcheng Evening News. (2025, November 22). 学术赋能体育，TCS 2025会议在广东第二师范学院举行 [Academic empowerment of sports: TCS 2025 conference held at Guangdong University of Education]. https://ycpai.ycwb.com/content/ikinvjjtih/content_53811815.html
- Zhang, J. (2023). Application and reflection of wearable devices in school physical education. *China Sport Science and Technology*, 59(2), 102–109. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Zhang, Z. (2020). A critique of digital physical education from the perspective of body philosophy. *Journal of Sports Research*, 34(6), 32–39. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Zhao, L. (2021). Construction of a data-driven personalized physical education teaching model. *Journal of Beijing Sport University*, 44(5), 98–106. (Original work published in Chinese)
- Zhong, Q., Jiang, J., Bai, W., Yin, Z., Liao, Z., & Zhong, X. (2025). Application of digital-intelligent technologies in physical education: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1626603. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1626603>
- Applied Sciences Editorial. (2025). Embedding digital technologies (AI and ICT) into physical education: A systematic review of innovations, pedagogical impact, and challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 15(17), 9826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15179826>