Educational Leadership and Teacher Professional Development: Roles, Practices, and Challenges

Goukuikui and Raja Azrul Hisham Bin Raja Ahamd

***Abstract*-The current study examines the important role of educational leadership in supporting teacher professional development (PD). Effective leadership is necessary to promote collaboration, support ongoing development, and help teachers solve the problems associated with modern education, including the use of technology and teaching students who have entered the classroom with widely varying backgrounds and experiences. Although PD is important to equip teachers with needed skills and knowledge, its effectiveness relies on more than episodic training; it requires a dynamic, ongoing approach that aligns with the evolving needs of teachers. The implementation of effective professional development (PD) programs frequently encounters obstacles, which include constraints in time, inadequate resources, and opposition to change. This study examines various strategies that educational leaders may employ to surmount these challenges, including strategic planning, fostering supportive environments, and ensuring alignment of PD with the objectives of the school. It underscores leadership practices that facilitate collaboration among teachers, enhance professional growth, and support ongoing improvement, providing practical recommendations for school administrators and policymakers. The study therefore provides useful insight into the link between leadership and professional development for teachers, emphasizing the importance of quality professional development as it maintains high educational standards.**

***Keywords*- Educational Leadership, Teacher Professional Development, PD Programs, Teacher Growth, Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), Educational Challenges.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

Educational leaders play a key role in fostering professional growth by identifying teacher needs, providing resources, and promoting a culture of learning and collaboration. Leaders who engage in instructional leadership, such as mentoring and facilitating peer collaboration, are more likely to improve teaching outcomes.

The increasing complexity of education, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has highlighted digital gaps in teacher competencies and the urgent need for strong leadership during transitions. Effective leaders provided the clarity and collaboration necessary to support teachers adapting to new learning models (Hodges et al., 2020).

Research Problem

Despite the growing emphasis on professional development (PD) as a driver of educational improvement, there are still significant gaps in understanding how leadership practices impact PD effectiveness. Many PD programs are implemented without consistent outcomes across different settings (Li et al., 2022). A key challenge lies in the lack of strategic leadership to guide the design, delivery, and evaluation of PD programs (Prasojo et al., 2021).

Additionally, PD often fails to align with teachers' real-world needs, resulting in top-down initiatives that bypass teachers' professional input (Finn et al., 2024). This lack of engagement often leads to resistance or disengagement, reducing the overall impact of PD (Hojeij, 2024). PD programs also often lack follow-up or sustained engagement, limiting their long-term effectiveness (Jeynes, 2023).

Another issue is the emerging need for PD in areas such as digital pedagogy, inclusive education, and socio-emotional learning (Spillane et al., 2023). Many leaders lack the knowledge or support to help teachers navigate these shifts, especially evident during the COVID-19 pandemic (Hodges et al., 2020).

Finally, there is a gap in research regarding how leadership styles, such as transformational or instructional leadership, directly affect PD effectiveness (Leithwood, 2023). More research is needed to identify actionable strategies that enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of PD initiatives (Louis & Murphy, 2023).

Research Objectives

(i) Examine the role of educational leadership in supporting teachers' professional development through policy, support environments, and teacher collaboration.
(ii) Identify leadership practices that contribute to impactful PD initiatives, such as transformational and instructional leadership.
(iii) Analyse the challenges faced by educational leaders in implementing PD and propose actionable solutions.
(iv) Develop a strategic framework for leaders to enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of PD efforts.

Research Questions

(i) What role does educational leadership play in supporting and advancing teachers' professional development?
(ii) What leadership practices are most effective in enhancing PD initiatives for teachers?
(iii) What challenges do educational leaders face in implementing PD programs, and how can they be addressed?

Gou Kuikui, City University of Malaysia, (Email address: 17852672117@163.com）
Raja Azrul Hisham Bin Raja Ahamd, City University of Malaysia

(iv) How can educational leaders develop a strategic framework to enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of PD?

Significance of the Study

Theoretical Significance

This study contributes to the understanding of how leadership affects PD outcomes, addressing gaps in current literature. It offers a framework for how leaders can balance administrative duties with instructional leadership to foster continuous learning.

Practical Significance

The findings have implications for education leaders, policymakers, and teachers. For leaders, the study provides evidence-based recommendations for designing PD programs that respond to teachers' needs. Policymakers can use the findings to improve systemic support for PD. For teachers, the study emphasizes the importance of PD in enhancing their teaching practices and offers strategies to engage more actively.

Scope of the Study

This study examines the role of educational leaders in promoting teacher PD in primary and secondary schools, using a quantitative approach. It focuses on leadership practices that influence PD design, implementation, and outcomes. The research is conducted in both public and private institutions, ensuring a broad perspective on leadership practices.

Methodology Overview

Quantitative research design was used, with data collected via structured questionnaires from school leaders and teachers. The data will be analysed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression modeling to explore relationships between leadership practices and PD outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Professional development (PD) for educators is widely considered an essential component in improving education, equipping teachers with the necessary knowledge, skills, and confidence to meet the evolving demands of modern education (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Effective PD programs play a crucial role in enhancing instruction, encouraging teacher involvement, and, consequently, improving student performance (Desimone & Garet, 2021). The success of PD initiatives is largely dependent on the leadership practices within educational institutions. Educational leaders are responsible for creating opportunities for meaningful and sustainable PD, addressing challenges like technology integration and promoting diversity (Leithwood & Azah, 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the critical role of leadership in managing the shift to online and blended learning environments, requiring flexibility in supporting teachers' digital pedagogical development (Bao, 2020; Hodges et al., 2020).

Despite the growing emphasis on leadership in PD, many studies lack detailed insights into how leaders can implement strategies in environments with limited resources or resistant teachers (Robinson & Lloyd, 2021). Furthermore, leadership models such as transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership remain underexplored in resource-constrained or geographically underserved settings (Timperley & Parr, 2020). This chapter aims to fill these gaps by critically reviewing the intersection between educational leadership and teacher PD, offering a comprehensive framework to guide further research and practical applications.

Theoretical Foundations of Educational Leadership

Educational leadership theories underpin how leaders influence teachers' professional development. Key leadership models include transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership, each offering different perspectives on how to support effective PD.

Transformational Leadership: This model focuses on inspiring teachers through vision and innovation, fostering a culture of continuous improvement. However, critics argue that it may fall short in addressing practical classroom needs, especially in resource-limited environments (Timperley & Parr, 2020).

Distributed Leadership: This approach decentralizes authority, promoting collaboration and shared decision-making. It has been linked to higher teacher engagement in PD. However, its effectiveness can be hindered by unclear role definitions and potential conflicts within teams (Robinson & Lloyd, 2021).

Instructional Leadership: Instructional leaders directly support teachers by providing feedback, resources, and professional development aligned with classroom practices. This approach has proven effective in resource-poor environments but can be time-consuming for leaders facing heavy administrative tasks (Hodges et al., 2020).

An integrative approach that combines elements of all three models—transformational leadership for vision, distributed leadership for collaboration, and instructional leadership for practical support—offers a comprehensive framework for enhancing PD effectiveness (Maher et al., 2022).

Teacher Professional Development

Teacher PD is crucial for improving teaching quality and student outcomes. Effective PD models focus on providing teachers with the skills, knowledge, and strategies needed to address emerging challenges in the classroom.

Key characteristics of effective PD include:

(i) Content Focus: Targeted PD that aligns with subject-specific knowledge and pedagogical skills.

(ii) Active Learning: Engaging teachers in hands-on activities, collaborative discussions, and role-playing.
(iii) Sustained Duration: Long-term PD programs that lead to lasting changes in teaching practices.
(iv) Collective Participation: Opportunities for peer collaboration enhance engagement and knowledge sharing
(v) Coherent Alignment: PD must align with institutional goals and teachers' specific needs.

However, PD faces challenges such as lack of alignment with teachers' needs, limited resources, and time constraints (Maher et al., 2022). Schools with collaborative cultures and strong leadership tend to have more successful PD initiatives (Robinson & Lloyd, 2021).

The Role of Educational Leadership in PD

Educational leadership plays a pivotal role in the design, implementation, and sustainability of PD programs. Effective leaders conduct needs assessments, allocate resources, and foster a culture of collaboration. Instructional leadership, in particular, has proven to be effective by providing direct feedback, mentoring, and alignment of PD with classroom needs.

However, leaders also face challenges, including time constraints, limited resources, and resistance to change from teachers (Timperley & Parr, 2020). Strategic leadership approaches, such as distributed leadership, can help address these challenges by distributing responsibility and empowering teachers to take ownership of their PD.

Integrative Framework for Leadership and Professional Development

This section synthesizes the key leadership models into a cohesive framework. The integrative approach combines the motivational power of transformational leadership, the collaborative nature of distributed leadership, and the practical support of instructional leadership. This holistic framework aims to address both the strategic and operational needs of PD.

The framework includes strategies for overcoming challenges such as resource constraints and resistance to change. It emphasizes the importance of alignment with institutional goals, sustainability over time, and adaptability to emerging trends in education**.**

Summary

This chapter highlighted the critical role of educational leadership in supporting teacher PD. Transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership each contribute to PD in unique ways. By integrating these models, educational leaders can create more effective and sustainable PD initiatives. However, challenges such as resource limitations and teacher resistance remain significant obstacles. Overcoming these barriers requires strategic leadership that balances vision with practical support. The chapter also identified gaps in the literature and suggested further research directions to explore the contextual and cultural factors affecting leadership practices.

III. METHODOLOGY

Research Design

A quantitative, cross-sectional survey design was used to examine the impact of educational leadership styles on teacher PD outcomes. The research focuses on measurable variables, using statistical analysis to provide objective insights.

Independent Variables: Leadership styles, including transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership.

Dependent Variables: Teacher PD outcomes such as teacher satisfaction, perceived relevance, and the applicability of PD programs.

Research Questions:
(i) What role does educational leadership play in supporting PD?
(ii) What leadership practices most effectively enhance PD initiatives?
(iii) What challenges do educational leaders face in PD implementation, and how can these be addressed?
(iv) How can a strategic framework be developed to enhance PD sustainability?

Hypotheses:
H1: Transformational leadership positively correlates with teacher satisfaction and PD relevance.
H2: Distributed leadership fosters higher teacher engagement in PD.
H3: Instructional leadership is the strongest predictor of PD effectiveness.

Participants and Sampling

Target Population: Educational leaders (principals, department heads) and teachers from primary and secondary schools, across both urban and rural settings in public and private institutions.

Sampling Technique: Stratified random sampling was used to ensure participants represented different school types and roles.

Sample Size: 100 participants, with the sample size determined through statistical power analysis.

Data Collection

A structured questionnaire, the Leadership Practice and Teacher PD Questionnaire, was developed to assess: Leadership Practices: Dimensions of transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership. PD Outcomes: Relevance, satisfaction, and applicability. Background Information: Demographic details like age, gender, years of teaching, and subject area. The Leadership Practices Inventory (LPI) was used for measuring leadership practices, with high reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.85$). Data were collected using both online and paper questionnaires.

Data Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: Summarized demographic data and leadership practice trends. Correlation Analysis: Explored relationships between leadership styles and PD outcomes. Multiple Regression Analysis: Identified significant predictors of PD effectiveness, quantifying the impact of each leadership style. Data analysis was performed using SPSS.

Ethical Considerations

Informed Consent: Participants were informed about the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights, and consent was obtained electronically. Confidentiality: Participant identities were anonymized using identification numbers. Data was stored securely on password-protected devices. Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board before data collection.

Limitations

Cross-Sectional Design: Limited the ability to establish causal relationships. Self-Reported Data: Risk of response bias, including social desirability. Context-Specific Results: The findings may not be fully generalizable to other educational settings due to the small sample size.

Conclusion

This chapter described the methodology used to study the relationship between educational leadership and teacher PD. The design enables the gathering of reliable quantitative data through stratified sampling and rigorous statistical analysis. The findings presented in the next chapter will provide important insights into leadership practices and their impact on PD initiatives.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS

Introduction

This chapter presents the analysis and results based on a survey of 100 respondents from the Faculty of Humanities and Business Management, Chengdu University. The study investigates how various leadership practices (transformational, distributed, and instructional) impact teacher professional development (PD), focusing on teacher satisfaction, engagement, and PD effectiveness. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression analysis were used to examine the relationships between leadership styles and PD outcomes.

Demographic Analysis

The survey participants were balanced in gender and included a majority of teachers aged 31-50 years. The sample consisted mainly of educational leaders (90%) and the remaining 10% were non-leaders. This demographic provides a comprehensive view of both leadership and non-leadership perspectives on PD.

Descriptive Analysis of Key Variables

Leadership Practices: All three leadership styles (transformational, distributed, and instructional) were highly rated, with instructional leadership receiving the highest mean score.

PD Outcomes: The PD outcomes measured were motivation, engagement, and communication. Motivation and engagement showed high scores, indicating positive effects of leadership practices. Communication had a slightly lower mean, suggesting room for improvement in communication between leaders and teachers.

Hypothesis Testing and Results

Hypothesis 1: Transformational leadership positively correlates with teacher satisfaction and PD relevance.

Result: Strong positive correlation ($r = 0.72$ for satisfaction, $r = 0.68$ for relevance).

Hypothesis 2: Distributed leadership increases teacher engagement in PD.

Result: Moderate positive correlation ($r = 0.65$).

Hypothesis 3: Instructional leadership is the strongest predictor of PD effectiveness.

Result: Regression analysis confirmed this with a significant Beta coefficient of 0.42 ($p < 0.01$).

Correlation Analysis

All three leadership practices showed positive correlations with PD outcomes. Instructional leadership had the highest correlations with motivation, engagement, and communication, confirming its central role in improving PD.

Regression Analysis

Regression analysis revealed that:

Motivation: Instructional leadership (Beta = 0.42) was the strongest predictor.

Engagement: Instructional leadership (Beta = 0.40) had the highest influence.

Communication: Instructional leadership (Beta = 0.38) again showed the strongest impact.

Challenges and Barriers in Professional Development

Barriers identified included:

Time Constraints: 60% of teachers reported this as the biggest challenge.

Resource Limitations: 20% mentioned insufficient resources.

Resistance to Change: 10% of teachers were resistant to new teaching methods.

Recommendations for addressing these barriers include offering flexible PD schedules, better resource allocation, and involving teachers in the planning process.

Research Questions and Results

RQ1: Leadership plays a key role in enhancing PD outcomes, particularly through transformational and instructional leadership.

RQ2: Transformational leadership positively affects teacher satisfaction and PD relevance, while instructional leadership is most effective in improving PD outcomes.

RQ3: Challenges include time and resource limitations, which can be addressed through flexible PD and better resources.

RQ4: A strategic PD framework should align with institutional goals, provide sustainable support, and adapt to teachers' evolving needs.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Introduction

This chapter provides a comprehensive summary of the study's findings, compares them with existing research, and draws implications for the improvement of teacher professional development (PD). The study aimed to investigate how different leadership practices—transformational leadership, distributed leadership, and instructional leadership—impact key PD outcomes, such as teacher satisfaction, engagement, and overall PD effectiveness. It also highlights the implications for educational leaders and policymakers and offers recommendations to enhance PD quality. The findings have far-reaching implications for improving PD programs, enhancing leadership practices, and promoting a supportive school climate. This chapter concludes with suggestions for further research.

Summary of Findings

This study aimed to examine the relationships between leadership practices and PD outcomes. The survey collected responses from 100 participants, including educational leaders and teachers in the Faculty of Humanities and Business Management at Chengdu University. The study tested three main hypotheses regarding leadership practices:

Hypothesis 1: Transformational leadership positively correlates with teacher satisfaction and the perceived relevance of PD.

Hypothesis 2: Distributed leadership fosters higher teacher engagement in PD initiatives.

Hypothesis 3: Instructional leadership is the strongest predictor of PD effectiveness.

The results supported all three hypotheses:

Transformational Leadership and Teacher Satisfaction: A strong positive correlation was found between transformational leadership and teacher satisfaction ($r = 0.72$), as well as the perceived relevance of PD ($r = 0.68$). This suggests that transformational leadership, characterized by inspiring teachers, motivating change, and aligning efforts with a common vision, enhances both teacher satisfaction and the perceived relevance of PD activities. This leadership style creates an environment where teachers feel valued, which increases their willingness to engage in PD programs.

Distributed Leadership and Teacher Engagement: Distributed leadership showed a moderate positive correlation with teacher engagement ($r = 0.65$). Teachers who felt they had a voice in the planning and execution of PD programs were more likely to engage deeply with the activities. This supports earlier research, which highlights that when leadership is shared, teachers feel a sense of ownership, leading to increased participation and enthusiasm in PD programs.

Instructional Leadership and PD Effectiveness: Instructional leadership emerged as the strongest predictor of PD effectiveness, with a Beta coefficient of 0.42 ($p < 0.01$). Instructional leadership focuses on improving teaching practices by providing direct feedback, mentoring, and aligning PD with classroom needs. This finding reinforces the importance of leaders being directly involved in PD activities to foster teacher development and improve teaching outcomes.

In summary, instructional leadership was found to have the greatest influence on PD outcomes, emphasizing the importance of active leadership engagement in fostering effective PD programs.

Comparison with Existing Literature

The findings of this study align with existing research on the role of leadership in teacher PD, particularly regarding transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership.

Transformational Leadership and Teacher Satisfaction: Previous studies (Leithwood et al., 2004; Hallinger, 2011) have found that transformational leadership positively influences teacher satisfaction and engagement in PD. This study extends that literature by demonstrating that transformational leadership not only increases satisfaction but also enhances the perceived relevance of PD activities, making them more meaningful to teachers.

Distributed Leadership and Teacher Engagement: The findings support previous research by Harris (2004) and Spillane (2006), which suggests that distributed leadership fosters teacher engagement by promoting shared responsibility and collaboration in decision-making. This study adds to the literature by highlighting that distributed leadership also creates a collaborative and supportive environment that enhances teacher engagement in PD.

Instructional Leadership and PD Effectiveness: Consistent with Day & Gu (2014), this study confirms that instructional leadership is the most significant predictor of PD effectiveness. Instructional leaders who are actively involved in mentoring, providing feedback, and aligning PD with classroom practices ensure that PD activities are directly relevant and beneficial to teachers' professional growth.

Digital and Transformational Leadership: Recent studies have emphasized the importance of digital leadership in the context of the technological transformation in education (Giovanni et al., 2024; Alawiah et al., 2024). This study acknowledges the need for leadership that integrates both traditional and digital tools to adapt to the changing educational landscape, suggesting that leaders who embrace digital literacy will be more effective in supporting teachers during digital transitions.

Research Questions and Results

The research questions addressed in this study are:

RQ1: What role does educational leadership play in supporting and advancing teachers' professional development?
Answer: Educational leadership plays a pivotal role, particularly through transformational and instructional leadership practices. Transformational leadership fosters a motivating environment, while instructional leadership ensures that PD is relevant and tailored to classroom needs.

RQ2: What leadership practices are effective in enhancing PD initiatives for teachers?
Answer: The study found that transformational leadership enhances teacher satisfaction and the perceived relevance of PD, while instructional leadership is the most effective in improving PD outcomes. Distributed leadership fosters teacher engagement by encouraging collaboration.

RQ3: What challenges do educational leaders face in implementing PD programs, and how can these be addressed?
Answer: Time constraints, limited resources, and resistance to change are common challenges. Solutions include offering flexible PD schedules, better resource allocation, and involving teachers in the decision-making process to increase buy-in.

RQ4: How can a strategic framework be developed to enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of PD?
Answer: A strategic PD framework should align with institutional goals, ensure sustained support, and adapt to the evolving needs of teachers. PD programs should be flexible and responsive to emerging educational trends.

Significance of the Study

Theoretical Contribution: This study contributes to the theoretical understanding of how different leadership practices—transformational, distributed, and instructional—affect PD outcomes. It validates instructional leadership as the strongest predictor of PD effectiveness and adds to the body of literature by integrating modern leadership theories, including digital leadership.

Practical Implications: The study suggests that schools should focus on strengthening instructional leadership, promote distributed leadership models, and cultivate transformational leadership to inspire teachers. PD programs should be dynamic, teacher-centered, and offer ongoing support to ensure effectiveness.

Policy Implications: Policymakers should prioritize leadership development programs that support instructional leadership, encourage distributed leadership models, and ensure that PD aligns with national educational goals. Adequate resources should be allocated to leadership development initiatives to empower school leaders.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are made to improve PD:

Enhance Instructional Leadership: School leaders should provide continuous instructional support, mentoring, and feedback to teachers, ensuring that PD is directly relevant to classroom needs.

Promote Distributed Leadership: Teachers should be involved in decision-making processes regarding PD design and delivery, fostering a sense of ownership and engagement.

Cultivate Transformational Leadership: Leaders should create a vision for growth and motivate teachers by aligning their personal goals with school objectives

Address Time and Resource Constraints: Schools should provide flexible PD schedules and allocate adequate resources to make PD more accessible.

Implement Ongoing Support: PD should not end with formal sessions but should include continuous mentoring, coaching, and follow-up to ensure teachers can effectively apply new strategies in their classrooms.

Limitations and Future Research

Sample Size and Scope: The study involved 100 participants, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Future research should include larger and more diverse samples.

Cross-Sectional Design: A longitudinal approach could offer insights into the long-term effects of leadership practices on PD outcomes.

Teacher Perspective Only: Including the perspectives of school leaders and administrators would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the leadership-PD relationship.

Exploration of Other Leadership Styles: Future studies could explore additional leadership styles, such as servant leadership, and their impact on PD outcomes.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study reinforces the positive impact of transformational, distributed, and instructional leadership on teacher PD outcomes. Instructional leadership was found to be the most significant predictor of PD effectiveness, while transformational and distributed leadership also contributed to teacher satisfaction and engagement. The study highlights the importance of strengthening instructional leadership, developing shared leadership roles, and inspiring teachers through transformational leadership practices. Addressing barriers to PD participation and aligning PD programs with teachers' needs will further enhance their effectiveness.

REFERENCES

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2020). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approach (5th ed.). Sage.

Desimone, L. M., & Garet, M. S. (2021). Best practices in teacher professional development in the era of digital

learning. Educational Researcher, 50(1), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X20963595

Maher, D., Prescott, A., & Churton, J. (2022). Leadership for learning: Building teacher capacity through collaborative professional development. International Journal of Educational Management, 36(3), 415–430. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2021-0395

Bao, W. (2020). COVID-19 and online teaching in higher education: A case study of Peking University. Human Behaviour and Emerging Technologies, 2(2), 113–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.191

Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2020). Effective teacher professional development in the age of COVID-19. Learning Policy Institute. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org

Desimone, L. M., & Garet, M. S. (2021). Best practices in teacher professional development in the era of digital learning. Educational Researcher, 50(1), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X20963595

Fullan, M., & Gallagher, L. (2020). The devil is in the details: System solutions for equity, excellence, and student well-being. Corwin Press.

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. Educause Review, 27(1), 1–12. https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning

Leithwood, K., & Louis, K. S. (2020). How leadership influences student learning (2nd ed.). Springer.

Maher, D., Prescott, A., & Churton, J. (2022). Leadership for learning: Building teacher capacity through collaborative professional development. International Journal of Educational Management, 36(3), 415–430. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2021-0395

Robinson, V. M. J., & Lloyd, C. (2021). The evolving role of leadership in education: Insights from contemporary research. Educational Administration Quarterly, 57(3), 379–402. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X20981655

Timperley, H., & Parr, J. M. (2020). Realizing the potential of teacher professional development: A learning-focused approach. Teaching and Teacher Education, 89, 103013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.103013

Yukl, G. A. (2022). Leadership in organizations (9th ed.). Pearson.

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. Educause Review, 27(1), 1–12. Retrieved from https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning

Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2021). Transformational school leadership for sustainable improvement: Development and validation of an evaluation framework. Leadership and Policy in Schools, 20(3), 298–316. https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2020.1811873

Maher, D., Prescott, A., & Churton, J. (2022). Leadership for learning: Building teacher capacity through collaborative professional development. International Journal of Educational Management, 36(3), 415–430. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2021-0395

Robinson, V. M. J., & Lloyd, C. (2021). The evolving role of leadership in education: Insights from contemporary research. Educational Administration Quarterly, 57(3), 379–402. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X20981655

Timperley, H., & Parr, J. M. (2020). Realizing the potential of teacher professional development: A learning-focused approach. Teaching and Teacher Education, 89, 103013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.103013

Chae, D., Lee, J., & Lee, E.-H. (2024). Internal structure of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian Nursing Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anr.2024.12.005

Cunningham, C., Zhang, W., Striepe, M., & Rhodes, D. (2022). Dual leadership in Chinese schools challenges executive principalships as best fit for 21st century educational development. International Journal of Educational Development, 89, 102531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102531

Finn, K. M., Kisielewski, M., McDonald, F. S., Willett, L., Kao, P. F., Desai, S., & Zaas, A. (2024). Do Current Hiring Processes for Residency Program Directors and Associate Program Directors Foster Diversity in Educational Leadership? The American Journal of Medicine, 137(1), 65-74.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2023.09.001

Hojeij, Z. (2024). Educational leadership's role in fostering innovation and entrepreneurship in education: A narrative literature review. Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 10, 101173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101173

Jeynes, W. H. (2023). Effects of family educational cultures on student success at school: Directions for leadership. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Eds.), International Encyclopedia of Education (Fourth Edition) (Fourth Edition, pp. 263–271). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.05047-8

Kranthi, A. K., Rai, A., & Showry, M. (2024). Linking resonant leadership and learning organizations: The role of psychological empowerment as a mediator in faculty members among higher educational institutions in India. Acta Psychologica, 248, 104365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2024.104365

Leithwood, K. (2023). Integrated educational leadership. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Eds.), International Encyclopedia of Education (Fourth Edition) (Fourth Edition, pp. 73–81). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.05028-4

Li, D., Morkos, J., Gage, D., & Yi, P. H. (2022). Artificial Intelligence Educational & Research Initiatives and Leadership Positions in Academic Radiology Departments. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 51(4), 552–555. https://doi.org/10.1067/j.cpradiol.2022.01.004

Louis, K. S., & Murphy, J. F. (2023). The contributions of positive organizational studies to educational leadership and school improvement. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Eds.), International Encyclopedia of Education (Fourth Edition) (Fourth

Edition, pp. 511–523). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.05072-7

Luger, S., & Prestia, A. S. (2023). Exploring Value of the Nursing Leadership Mission Critical Checklist©: An Educational Exercise. Nurse Leader, 21(6), 692–697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mnl.2023.07.001

Magdolen, M., Behren, S. von, Vallée, J., Chlond, B., & Vortisch, P. (2024). Response bias in Likert-style psychological items – an example from a large-scale travel survey in China. Transportation Research Procedia, 76, 349–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2023.12.060

MarequeMareque, M., Prada, E. de P., & Juste, M. P. J. (2022). Aspiring and inspiring: The role of women in educational leadership. Gender in Management, 37(8), 1009–1025. https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-07-2021-0221

Memmedova, K., & Ertuna, B. (2024). Development of a fuzzy Likert scales to measure variables in social sciences. Information Sciences, 654, 119792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2023.119792

Murray, S. (2023). Exploring How Nutrition and Dietetic Students Make Meaning of Their Educational Experiences and the Impact It Has on Their Leadership Development. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 123(9, Supplement), A29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2023.06.092

Nadeem, M. (2024). Distributed leadership in educational contexts: A catalyst for school improvement. Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 9, 100835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.100835

Prasojo, L. D., Yuliana, L., & Akalili, A. (2021). Dataset on factors affecting social media use among school principals for educational leaderships. Data in Brief, 39, 107654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.107654

Shaked, H. (2022). The contribution of case-based learning to adopting a multidimensional view in educational leadership students. International Journal of Educational Management, 36(2), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-08-2021-0347

Spillane, J. P., Morel, R. P., & Al-Fadala, A. (2023). Framing educational leadership as a multilevel distributed practice: A systemwide perspective. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Eds.), International Encyclopedia of Education (Fourth Edition) (Fourth Edition, pp. 82–90). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.05013-2

Wang, Y. (2023). Future research on educational leadership: Theoretical groundings of educational leadership research. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Eds.), International Encyclopedia of Education (Fourth Edition) (Fourth Edition, pp. 34–43). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.05067-3

Yang, R., & Yagi, H. (2024). Evaluating occupational values in Japan's urban farming: A comparison between the Likert scale and Best-Worst Scaling methods. Cities, 155, 105485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2024.105485